



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/507,882	02/22/2000	Richard H. Guski	PO9-99-151	4051
23413 75	590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
55 GRIFFIN ROBLOOMFIELD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
<i>5</i> 200	,, 0. 00002		2134	
			DATE MAN ED. OCUCDOM	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/507,882	GUSKI ET AL.	p			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew Heneghan	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat		in the Carl animation which	-hi- lates -la			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or			
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in fithe appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>.</b>			
NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would		enarate timely filed a	amendment			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.□ Other:	GREGORY MORSE		,			
	ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	M.1 12 7m				





Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejections to claims 1-8 under 35 USC 101 and all rejections under 35 USC 112.